**SITE REFERENCE/LOCATION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Site Name** |  |
| **BMDC SHLAA Ref** |  |
| **Addingham Parish Council Call for Sites** |  |
| **Site Address** |  |

**(A) INITIAL SCREENING OUT OF SITES** *(ref BMDC Stage 3)*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **IN THE GREEN BELT** | **Yes/No** |
| **AVAILABILITY:**  The land is available for development (i.e. the landowner/developer is willing to sell/develop the land).  *(NB If in the BMDC SHLAA then it is assumed available unless it is known otherwise)* | **Yes/No/Unknown** |
| **SITE WHOLLY IN FLOOD ZONE 3** | **Yes/No/Unknown** |

**BASIC SITE INFORMATION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Site Area (hectares)** |  |
| **Flood Zone (rivers & seas)** |  |
| **Flood Zone (surface water)** |  |
| **Site capacity *(indicative no. of units – SHLAA or Core Strategy density assumption)*** |  |
| **Existing Use** |  |
| **Previously developed land /Not previously developed land %** |  |
| **Adjacent/surrounding land use** (***eg housing, industrial, farming)*** |  |
| **Is the Site located in the Green Belt? %** |  |
| **Is the Site located within settlement boundary?** |  |
| **Is the Site located in Conservation Area?** |  |
| **Is the site a RUDP Village Open Space?** |  |
| **Site Description** |  |

**(B) GROUPING & PRIORITISING REMAINING SITES** *(ref BMDC Stage 4)*

**Core Strategic Policy SC5**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Priority 1: Re-use of deliverable & developable previously developed land & buildings within settlements** |  |
| **Priority 2: Mixed not previously developed/previously developed sites within settlements** |  |
| **Priority 3: Not previously developed sites within settlements** |  |

**(C) SITE SUITABILITY** *[ref BMDC Stage 5] -* **SCORING FORM**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Location in relation to built up area** | |
| Within existing built up area | 5 |
| On edge of existing settlement | 3 |
| Isolated from existing settlement | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Location in relation to village centre** | |
| Less than 250m to village centre (defined as The Crown Inn) | 5 |
| 250-500m to village centre (defined as The Crown Inn) | 4 |
| 501- 750m to village centre (defined as The Crown Inn) | 3 |
| 751- 1000m to village centre (defined as The Crown Inn) | 2 |
| More than 1001m to village centre (defined as The Crown Inn) | 1 |

**Straight line measure from centre of site to The Crown Inn, corner of Main Street/Bolton Road.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Location in relation to flood risk (rivers)** | |
| In Flood Zone 1 | 5 |
| In Flood Zone 2 | 4 |
| Up to 50% in Flood Zone 3 | 3 |
| Majority in Flood Zone 3 | 2 |
| All in Flood Zone 3 | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Location in relation to flood risk (surface water)** | |
| In Flood Zone 1 | 5 |
| In Flood Zone 2 | 4 |
| Up to 50% in Flood Zone 3 | 3 |
| Majority in Flood Zone 3 | 2 |
| All in Flood Zone 3 | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Previously developed land/Not previously developed land** | |
| 100% Previously developed | 5 |
| Majority previously developed | 4 |
| 50%/50% Previously developed/Not previously developed | 3 |
| Majority not previously developed | 2 |
| 100% Not previously developed | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Agricultural Land** | |
| No loss of agricultural land | 5 |
| Loss of Grade 3-5 Agricultural Land | 3 |
| Loss of Grade 1-2 Agricultural Land | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **HIGHWAYS IMPACTS: Adequacy of site access (vehicle)** | |
| Existing road access to site is adequate | 5 |
| Existing access requires upgrading | 3 |
| Site requires new access | 2 |
| Site cannot be accessed acceptably | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Adequacy of site access (pedestrian/cycle)** | |
| Existing pedestrian/cycle access to site is adequate | 5 |
| Existing access requires upgrading | 3 |
| Potential for new access exists | 2 |
| No existing access to site | 1 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Notes:** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Location in relation to public transport provision** | |
| Less than 250m to bus stop | 5 |
| 250-500m to bus stop | 4 |
| 501-750m to bus stop | 3 |
| 751-1000m to bus stop | 2 |
| More than 1001m to bus stop | 1 |

**Straight line measure from centre of site to nearest bus stop (Skipton/Leeds & Keighley/ Ilkley services).**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **HERITAGE IMPACTS: Location in relation to Conservation Area** | |
| Site located outside Conservation Area | 5 |
| Site located adjacent to Conservation Area | 3 |
| Site located within Conservation Area | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Listed Buildings** | |
| Site not in proximity to a Listed Building | 5 |
| Site adjacent to a Listed Building | 3 |
| Site contains or/is a Listed Building | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Scheduled Ancient Monuments** | |
| Site not in proximity to a SAM | 5 |
| Site adjacent to a SAM | 3 |
| Site contains a SAM | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Archaeological Interest** | |
| Site identified as unlikely to be of archaeological interest | 5 |
| As having potential archaeological interest | 3 |
| As being of archaeological interest | 1 |
| **Notes:** | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **WILDLIFE & ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS – international/national statutory sites** | |
| Site not in the South Pennine Moors SPA/SPC Zone B | 5 |
| Site in the South Pennine Moors SPA/SPC Zone B | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **WILDLIFE & ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS – international/national statutory sites** | |
| Site not in the North Pennine Moors SPA/SPC 2.5km zone | 5 |
| Site in the North Pennine Moors SPA/SPC 2.5 km zone | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Local designated sites** | |
| Site not in proximity to a locally designated biodiversity site | 5 |
| Site adjacent to a locally designated biodiversity site | 3 |
| Site is a locally designated biodiversity site | 1 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Notes:** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **IMPACT ON: Trees, Woodland & Hedgerows** | |
| No impact on woodland/trees/hedgerows | 5 |
| Potential impact on woodland/trees/hedgerows (unprotected) | 3 |
| Potential impact on woodland/trees/hedgerows (protected) | 1 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Notes:** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **LANDSCAPE IMPACTS : Key views & landscape features** | |
| Development of the site will not have a negative impact on landscape/skylines/key views and landscape features | 5 |
| Development of the site is unlikely to have a negative impact on landscape/skylines/key views and landscape features | 4 |
| Development of the site has the potential to have a negative impact on landscape/skylines/key views and landscape features | 3 |
| Development of the site is likely to have a negative impact on landscape/skylines/key views and landscape features | 2 |
| Development of the site is very likely to have a negative impact landscape/skylines/key views and landscape features | 1 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Notes:** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Relationship to existing built form** | |
| Has the potential to relate well to existing built form | 5 |
| Part of site has the potential to relate well to existing built form | 3 |
| Site does not have the potential to relate well to existing built form | 1 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Notes:** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **OPEN SPACE & GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE: Impact on open spaces** | |
| Development of the site would not result in the loss of village green space/recreation grounds/playing fields/amenity or allotments (RUDP open space allocations) | 5 |
| Development of the site would result in the loss of village green space/recreation grounds/playing fields/amenity or allotments (RUDP open space allocations) | 1 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Notes:** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Impact on green infrastructure /key amenity corridors** | |
| Development of the site would not affect the enjoyment of key amenity corridors for residents and visitors | 5 |
| Development of the site would potentially affect the enjoyment of key amenity corridors for residents and visitors | 3 |
| Development of the site would significantly impact the enjoyment of key amenity corridors for residents and visitors | 1 |

**NB: Key amenity corridors are: The Dales Way, The Dales Highway, Sustrans Cycleroutes**

**Village green corridors:**

**- Main Street footpath links to Addingham Moorside from The Sailor, Stockinger Lane & The Cricket Field**

**- Back Beck Lane, Long Riddings footpath, over Bracken Ghyll Golf Course to Bolton Abbey**

**- The Back Beck Lane/Sugar Hill/Manor Garth/Sawmill Pond green corridor .**

|  |
| --- |
| **Notes:** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Utilities (gas, electricity, water, sewerage, broadband)** | |
| No/Limited new infrastructure required | 5 |
| Significant new infrastructure required | 1 |

**Total Score:**

**(D) ACHIEVABILITY**

* **Physical Constraints**
* **Current Policy Constraints** (e.g. would require local Greenbelt Release/ Village Green Space)
* **Development Viability**
* **Timeframe for development**

**CONCLUSION**

**DEVELOPMENT ACCEPTABLE ? Yes No**

**No. of Dwellings?**